

## **NRR ACTION PLAN**

**REVISION 1**

**September 14, 2010**

### **BURIED PIPING**

TAC NO. ME3939

Last Update: Initial plan, May 18, 2010

Lead Division: DCI

Supporting Division(s): DLR, DIRS, DPR

Supporting Office: NRO, RES, Regions, OCA, OPA

#### **Description:**

Buried piping at some nuclear power plants has degraded to the point that through wall leakage has occurred. In a few cases this has led to releases of tritium into groundwater, which has generated significant stakeholder interest. Leaks from degraded buried piping are responsible for less than approximately 10-20% of recently reported abnormal releases of tritium from nuclear power plants. The NRC staff, in SECY 09-0174 (ML093160004), reviewed codes, standards, regulations and industry practices related to degradation of buried piping and identified a number of ongoing activities. This action plan tracks those activities.

#### **Background:**

Leaks from buried piping, particularly of tritiated water, have attracted significant stakeholder attention. For example, during 2009 buried piping leaks introduced water that contained low levels of tritium into the groundwater at Dresden, Oyster Creek and Peach Bottom nuclear plants. The levels of tritium did not exceed any NRC limits (that would be applicable on-site), and after additional dilution and decay that would occur as a natural consequence of migration toward the site boundary, would not exceed any NRC limit offsite. Although these leaks did not exceed any NRC limits, either on-site or offsite, the level of tritium triggered the licensees to initiate voluntary communications with local and state officials. In response to these leakage events the staff performed a collective significance review of buried piping degradation. In September 2009, the Chairman issued a tasking memorandum that required the staff to review the adequacy of regulations, codes, standards and industry activities related to degradation of buried piping. In response, the staff prepared SECY 09-0174 (ML093160004), which concluded that no immediate regulatory changes were necessary to address degradation of buried piping because a) leakage from buried piping was of low safety significance with respect to structural integrity of the piping, and b) the amount of radioactive material that has been released has been a small fraction of regulatory limits.

The SECY paper described a number of ongoing staff, codes and standards, and industry activities. The staff identified plans to review operating experience to continue to validate conclusions in the SECY paper. The staff indicated it would continue its participation in codes and standards organizations efforts to incorporate changes in the state-of-the-art with respect to maintenance and evaluation of buried piping. Independent of the staff's actions, the nuclear industry developed, and in November 2009, issued their "Buried Piping Integrity Initiative," an executive level inter/utility agreement to address degradation of buried piping.

The staff identified actions necessary to understand the breadth of implementation and efficaciousness of this initiative. The staff also identified actions related to license renewal, new reactors, and the need to communicate about buried piping issues with licensees and other stakeholders.

Licensees collectively will be performing an increasing number of excavations and other inspections of buried piping during the next several years. This increased number of inspections will be performed to satisfy license renewal commitments and to satisfy the objectives of the industry's Buried Piping Integrity Initiative. The staff anticipates that in the short term, the increase in excavation and inspection could increase the frequency of discovery of degraded buried piping. In the longer term, the increased focus on buried piping should result in fewer new incidences of leakage. One of the activities in this action plan will be to prepare appropriate communications material related to this expected inspection transient.

The NRC defines buried piping as piping that is underground and in contact with soil (or encased in concrete). Leaks from degraded buried piping are responsible for less than approximately 10-20% of recently reported abnormal releases of tritium from nuclear power plants. Leaks related to underground piping that does not contact soil (e.g. piping in vaults and chases, or buildings, etc.) and leaks from components and structures that are not piping are not addressed in this action plan, with the following exception: the staff understands that there are pipe systems in vaults that, while not soil covered, occasionally get wetted or flooded and may be subject to degradation similar to buried pipe components and that some piping in vaults or chases may be inaccessible such that the possible extent of inspection or observation is limited. The action plan includes an activity to evaluate whether the industry's Buried Piping Integrity Initiative will adequately address these piping configurations.

#### **Regulatory Outcome:**

In SECY 09-0174 the staff concluded that current regulations, codes, standards and industry activities have been adequate to ensure: a) leakage from buried piping has been of low safety significance with respect to structural integrity of the piping, and b) the amount of radioactive material that has been released has been a small fraction of regulatory limits. Continued validation of these conclusions is one of the potential regulatory outcomes of this action plan. In the event that operational experience or other information causes the NRC to revise these conclusions, timely regulatory action such as issuing generic communications would be initiated. In addition, this plan tracks interaction with industry to understand whether, by 2015, their Buried Piping Integrity Initiative ultimately reduces the incidence of degradation and leaks. Finally, since an inspection transient is expected, this plan addresses proactive creation of communication tools to manage the inspection transient.

#### **Project success criteria include:**

Licensees adequately implement the Buried Piping Integrity Initiative, and the initiative activities are effective in addressing degradation of buried piping.

Stakeholders are informed of and educated about the safety and environmental significance of degradation of buried piping, and are adequately and appropriately informed about the potential increase in reported degradation expected over the next 3-4 years.

Current regulations, codes, standards and industry activities continue to be adequate to ensure:  
a) leakage from buried piping has been of low safety significance with respect to structural integrity of the piping, and b) the amount of radioactive material that has been released has been a small fraction of regulatory limits. If operational or other information becomes available that requires a change in these conclusions, appropriate regulatory action is to be initiated via generic communication, revision to the NRC inspection activities, or rulemaking, as appropriate.

The milestones in the action plan, and documents or products that result from completed milestones should be publicly available. Planning information, personnel assignments and draft documents will not, in general, be made public.

**Milestones, Update Frequency, and Scheduled Dates for Completion:**

The action plan will be updated semi-annually.

| Number                                       | Milestone   | Date (T=Target)<br>(C=Complete) | Lead | Support |
|--|---|---------------------------------|------|---------|
| Phase 1: Collection of reference information |   |                                 |      |         |
| 1-1  | Develop list of historical buried piping degradation incidents (target 1990-present)  | 8/2010 C                        | DCI  | DIRS    |
| 1-2  | Update list of buried piping degradation incidents (Ongoing through 12/2015. Milestone is semi-annual, update may occur more frequently)  | 12/2010 T                       | DCI  | DIRS    |
| 1-3  | Acquire from the industry, review and assess a listing of buried piping systems at nuclear power plants. Specifically determine whether there is any buried ASME Class 1 piping. (request list from Buried Piping Initiative Executive) | 1/2011 T                        | DCI  | DIRS    |
| 1-4  | Develop an historical listing of abnormal liquid releases of tritium that have affected groundwater at nuclear power plants (ML101270439) (target 1990-present)   | 5/2010 C                        | DIRS |         |
| 1-5  | Update listing of abnormal tritium releases at nuclear power plants (Ongoing through 12/2015. Milestone is semi-annual, update may occur more frequently)   | 12/2010 T                       | DIRS |         |
| 1-6  | Compile and evaluate available buried piping degradation and leakage event causal information   | 3/2011 T                        | DCI  | DIRS    |
| 1-7  | Using output from action 1-3, verify  | 2/2011 T                        | DCI  |         |

|  |  |                      |         |      |
|--|--|----------------------|---------|------|
|  | that any buried high pressure piping (over 275 psi) is identified and given appropriate inspection priority, and that ASME Section XI IWA-5244 Buried Component pressure tests have been and are being periodically performed        |                      |         |      |
| 1-8  | Evaluate the need to issue generic communication to collect information necessary to complete items 1-6 and 1-7  | 3/2011 T             | DCI     | DIRS |
| 1-9  | Using historical buried piping degradation event information developed in step 1-1, establish a pre-2010 rate of occurrence of buried piping degradation events  | 1/2011 T             | DCI     |      |
| 1-10   | Review the Groundwater Contamination task force final report for consistency with the buried piping action plan. Consider additional actions/milestones based on recommendations from the GW task force pertaining to buried piping. | 7/2010 C             | DCI     |      |
| <b>Phase 2: Assess the industry's Buried Piping Integrity Initiative</b> |  |                      |         |      |
| 2-1  | Assess the Buried Piping Integrity Initiative through a series of public meetings with industry (Ongoing through 12/2015. Milestone reflects public meeting dates)   | 2/2010 C<br>9/2010 T | DCI     |      |
| 2-2  | Identify staff training needs with respect to the EPRI buried piping program, guided wave ultrasonic examination technology, NACE standards, cathodic protection system assessment, risk ranking and other relevant training         | 10/2010 T            | DCI     | All  |
| 2-3  | Evaluate need to develop Temporary Instruction for inspection of Buried Piping Integrity initiative activities and if necessary, develop draft TI  | 9/2010 T             | DCI     | DIRS |
| 2-4  | If required as outcome of 2-3, issue Temporary Instruction for inspection of Buried Piping Integrity initiative activities   | 12/2010 T            | DIRS    | DCI  |
| 2-5  | If required as outcome of 2-3, implement Temporary Instruction   | 6/2011 T             | Regions |      |
| 2-6  | Using information from 1-8 and 1-2,  | 9/2015 T             | DCI     |      |

|  |   |           |                     |  |
|--|---|-----------|---------------------|--|
|  | determine whether the incidence of degradation of buried piping decreases after implementation of the Buried Piping Integrity Initiative  |           |                     |  |
| 2-7  | Determine whether the Buried Piping Integrity Initiative addresses pipe systems in vaults that, while not soil covered, may be subject to degradation similar to buried pipe components and/or where the extent of inspection or observation is limited | 10/2010 T | DCI                 |  |
| 2-8  | Evaluate the qualification and application of guided wave ultrasonic inspection techniques for evaluation of piping condition (annual status reports)   | 5/2015 T  | DCI                 |  |
| 2-9  | Assess Buried Piping Integrity Initiative risk ranking processes  | 5/2012 T  | DCI                 |  |
| <b>Phase 3: Codes and standards activities</b> |   |           |                     |  |
| 3-1  | Participate in NACE standards activities to develop nuclear industry-specific corrosion prevention and inspection standards (Ongoing through 12/2015. Milestone is semi-annual, update may occur more frequently)                                       | 12/2010 T | DCI,<br>DLR         |  |
| 3-2  | Participate in ASME standards activities to incorporate into the code state-of the art design, evaluation and inspection technologies (Ongoing through 12/2015. Milestone is semi-annual, update may occur more frequently)                             | 12/2010 T | DCI,<br>RES,<br>NRO |  |
| 3-3  | Meet with ASME management at the August 2010 Code meeting in Washington to discuss ASME plans with respect to buried piping.  | 8/2010 C  | DCI                 |  |
| <b>Phase 4: Regulatory products</b>            |   |           |                     |  |
| 4-1  | Issue draft revision of aging management programs for buried piping and buried tanks in GALL for public comment   | 5/2010 C  | DLR                 |  |
| 4-2  | Issue GALL revision   | 01/2011 T | DLR                 |  |
| 4-3  | Develop RAIs for all current LRA applicants to address augmented requirements in Buried Pipe Programs based on industry OE  | 11/2010 T | DLR                 |  |

|      |  |           |              |      |
|------|--|-----------|--------------|------|
| 4-4  | Provide OE data on buried and underground pipe leakage or degradation to the PI&R Inspection Teams to inform that inspection process   | 10/2010 T | DLR          |      |
| 4-5  | Evaluate the need to issue generic communication soliciting licensee commitments to the Buried Piping Integrity Initiative (Ongoing through 12/2015. Milestone is semi-annual, update may occur more frequently) | 11/2010 T | DCI/<br>DIRS |      |
| 4-6  | Evaluate the staff's treatment of licensee commitments with respect to Regulatory Guide 1.143  | 6/2011 T  | DCI/<br>DIRS |      |
| 4-7  | Update buried piping website bi-monthly  | 8/2010 T  | DCI          |      |
| 4-8  | Evaluate the need to make changes to the Reactor Oversight Process based on results of the TI inspections  | 7/2013 T  | DIRS,<br>DCI |      |
| 4-9  | Develop and post on website a description of the expected increase in leak discovery due to an increase in inspection  | 9/2010 T  | DCI          |      |
| 4-10 | Evaluate the need to recommend rulemaking to require enhanced maintenance, corrective action, and aging management programs for these systems, structures and components that contain radioactive materials      | 5/2013 T  | DCI          | DIRS |

**Current Status:** N/A (initial update)

**Contacts:**

DCI: R. Hardies, T. Lupold, D. Alley  
DIRS: R. Conatser, A. Lewin, M. King  
DLR: W. Holston  
NRO: J. Strnisha, R. LaVera  
OPA: S. Burnell  
OCA: E. Dicus  
Region I: R. Conte  
Region II: M. Franke  
Region III: A. Shaihk  
Region IV: G. Werner

**References:**

1. EVALUATION OF BURIED PIPING AT NUCLEAR REACTOR FACILITIES, September 3, 2009 (ML092460648)
2. STAFF PROGRESS IN EVALUATION OF BURIED PIPING AT NUCLEAR REACTOR FACILITIES, SECY 09-0174, December 2, 2009 (ML093160004)  
Industry Initiative on Buried Piping Integrity, November 20, 2009 (ML093350032)  
Industry Initiative on Groundwater Protection, July 31, 2006 (ML062260198)
3. SUMMARY OF CATEGORY 2 PUBLIC MEETING BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES TO DISCUSS BURIED PIPING ISSUES, November 2, 2009 (ML093070150)
4. SUMMARY OF CATEGORY 2 PUBLIC MEETING BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES TO DISCUSS THE INDUSTRY'S BURIED PIPING INTEGRITY INITIATIVE, March 24, 2010 (ML100820453)
5. NRR Yellow Ticket Number: YT20100098, Buried Piping Action Plan